1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney		
2	STEVEN W. MYHRE NICHOLAS D. DICKINSON		
3	NADIA J. AHMED Assistant United States Attorneys		
4	ERIN M. CREEGAN Special Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336		
6	steven.myhre@usdoj.gov nicholas.dickinson@usdoj.gov		
7	nadia.ahmed@usdoj.gov erin.creegan@usdoj.gov		
8	Representing the United States of America	a	
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
12	Plaintiff,	2:16-CR-00046-GMN-PAI	ı
13	v.	GOVERNMENT'S VOIR DIRE	PROPOSED
14	CLIVEN D. BUNDY,	VOIR DIRE	
15	RYAN C. BUNDY, AMMON E. BUNDY,		
16	RYAN W. PAYNE, PETER T. SANTILLI,		
17	MEL D. BUNDY, DAVE H. BUNDY,		
18	BRIAN D. CAVALIER,		
19	ERIC J. PARKER, O. SCOTT DREXLER,		
20	RICKY R. LOVELIEN, STEVEN A. STEWART,		
21	TODD C. ENGEL, GREGORY P. BURLESON,		
22			
	JOSEPH D. O'SHAUGHNESSY,		
,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
23	JOSEPH D. O'SHAUGHNESSY, MICAH L. McGUIRE, and		

The United States, by and through the undersigned, and pursuant to this Court's Order of December 13, 2016, (ECF No. 1107), the government respectfully submits its proposed questions to be propounded during *voir dire* of prospective jurors and/ or to be included in a jury questionnaire in the above captioned-matter.

Questions for Proposed Jury Questionnaire

A) Government Officials Making Charging Decisions

- 1) Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, District of Nevada
- 2) Prosecution Team
 - a. Assistant United States Attorneys STEVEN W. MYHRE NICHOLAS D. DICKINSON NADIA J. AHMED
 - b. Special Assistant United States Attorney ERIN M. CREEGAN
- 3) Is there anything about your knowledge of these individuals that could prevent you from rendering an impartial verdict based on the evidence admitted in the case and the Court's instructions on the law?
- 4) Have you read or heard any news accounts about the United States Attorney's Office or the U.S. Department of Justice that could affect your ability to be fair and impartial to either the prosecution or the defense?
 - a. If so, please describe the nature of what you have read or heard?

1	b. Would you be able to put aside what you have read or hear	f
2	and render an impartial verdict based on the evidence admitted in	the
3	case and the Court's instructions on the law?	
4	5) Do you have any opinion, favorable or unfavorable, about the Ur	ited
5	States Attorney's Office or the Department of Justice in general that could a	ffect
6	your ability to render a verdict in this case?	
7	a. If so, please describe the nature of your opinion?	
8		
9		
10		
11	b. Would you be able to put aside your opinion and render an	
12	impartial verdict based solely on the evidence admitted in the case	and
13	the Court's instructions on the law?	ana
14		. 1
15	6) Do you have any opinion, favorable or unfavorable, about the Fed	
16	Bureau of Investigation that could affect your ability to be fair and impartial in	this
17	case?	
18	a. If so, please describe the nature of your opinion?	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

- b. Is there anything about your knowledge about the potential witnesses in this case that could prevent you from rendering an impartial verdict based solely on the evidence admitted in the case and the Court's instructions on the law?
- 3) Have you read or heard anything from any source about any comments made by any state, local, or federal public officials about the defendants or the charges in this case?
 - a. If so, please describe the source and substance of what you've read or heard?

- b. Is there anything about your knowledge about any comments you may have heard that could prevent you from rendering an impartial verdict based solely on the evidence admitted in the case and the Court's instructions on the law?
- 4) Have you read or heard anything from any source about any comments made by any state, local, or federal public officials about the impoundment of cattle belonging to Cliven Bundy or members of the Bundy Family?

1		a. If so, please describe the source and substance of what you've
2		read or heard?
3		
4		
5		
6		b. Is there anything about your knowledge about those comments
7		that could prevent you from rendering an impartial verdict based
8		solely on the evidence admitted in the case and the Court's instructions
9		on the law?
10	5)	Have you read or heard anything from any source about any comments
11 12	made by an	y state, local, or federal public officials about the propriety of federal use
13 14		a. If so, please describe the source and substance of what you've read or heard?
15		
16		
17		
18 19		b. Is there anything about your knowledge in this regard that
20		could prevent you from rendering an impartial verdict based solely on
21		the evidence admitted in the case and the Court's instructions on the
22		law?
23		
,,		

1	C. Inter	action with Law Enforcement and the Criminal Justice System
2	1)	Have you, a family member, or close personal friend, ever been placed
3	under arres	t? If so, please describe generally the circumstances.
4		a. What was the general nature of the charge(s)?
5		
6		
7		b. How were the charges resolved?
8		
9		
10		c. Is there anything about your experience or knowledge of this
11		event that could cause you to have any bias or animosity toward law
12		enforcement agents, the government in general, or the prosecution
13		
14		team in this case?
15	2)	Have you, a family member, or close personal friend, ever been charged
16	with a crimi	nal offense?
17		a. What was the general nature of the charge(s)?
18		
19		
20		b. How were the charges resolved?
21		
22		
23		

	c. Is there anything about your experience or knowledge of this			
	event that could cause you to have any bias or animosity toward law			
	enforcement agents, the government in general, or the prosecution			
	team in this case?			
3)	Have you, any member of your family, or any close personal friend ever			
been questioned or interviewed by a law enforcement agent in connection with a				
criminal inv	restigation.			
	a. Describe the general nature of the investigation and your role			
	or the role of your family member or friend.			
	b. Identify the agency or agencies conducting the investigation.			
	c. Is there anything about your experience or knowledge of this			
	event that could cause you to have any bias or animosity against law			
	enforcement agents, the government in general, or the prosecution			
	team in this case?			
	,			

1		
2		
3	4)	During this trial you may hear testimony from a law enforcemen
4	officer who	acted as an undercover agent. That is, he/she assumed a fictitiou
5	identity an	d had meetings and conversations while employing that fictitiou
6	identity.	
7		a. Do you have any personal feelings about the testimony of a
8		person who worked as an agent?
9		
10		
11		b. Do you have any personal feelings about law enforcement's use
12		of video or audio recordings that would prevent you from fairly
13		considering the evidence in this case?
14		
15		
16		
17 18	D) Othe	r Interaction with Government Agencies
19	1)	Have you, any member of your immediate family, or any close persona
20	friend, ever	made or filed a claim against the United States or any agency of th
21	United Stat	es?
22		
23		
24		

1		a. If yes, please describe the general nature of the claim and its
2		current status.
3		
4		
5		b. Is there anything about your experience or knowledge of this
6		claim or its resolution that could cause you to have any bias or
7		animosity against law enforcement agents, the government in general,
8		or the prosecution team in this case?
9		•
10		
11	2)	Have you, any member of your immediate family, or a close personal
12	,	fined administratively by any state or federal agency for any violation
13		
14	of a rule or i	
15		a. If yes, please describe the general nature of the alleged
16		violation and the current status of the matter.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		a. If so, please describe the general nature of the use applied for.
2		
3		
4		b. If there anything about that experience that could prevent you
5		from remaining fair and impartial to both the government and the
6		defendant throughout the trial of this case?
7	3)	Have you, any family member, or close family friend, ever been cited
8	for trespass	s or any violation of federal regulations related to the use of federal public
9	lands?	
10		a. If so, please describe the general nature of the violation?
11		
12		
13		b. Is there anything about that experience that could prevent you
14		from remaining fair and impartial to both the government and the
15		defendants throughout the trial of this case.
16	4)	Do you, any family member or close family friend, hold any strong
17	views, one	way or the other, about federal ownership of federal public
18	lands?	
19		a. If yes, please describe generally the nature of your beliefs.
20		a. If yes, prease describe generally the havare of your senters.
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		b. Is there anything about that experience that could prevent you
2		from remaining fair and impartial to both the government and the
3		defendants throughout the trial of this case.
4	5)	Have you or any family member currently, or ever, engaged in cattle
5	ranching a	s an occupation?
6		a. If so, please describe generally the nature of the ranching.
7		
8		
9		b. If so, has any of the ranching operations involved grazing cattle
10		on federal public lands?
11	(2)	
12	6)	Have you or any family member currently, or ever, been involved in a
13	land owner	ship dispute of any kind with any person or entity, including the federal
14	governmen	t.
15		a. If so, please describe generally the nature of the dispute.
16		
17		
18		
19	7)	Have you or any family member or close friend ever received any
20	specialized	training or instruction related to federal rules or regulations related to
21	the use or i	management of federal public lands?
22		
23		
,,		

1		a. If yes, please describe generally the nature of your beliefs.
2		
3		
4		
5		b. Is there anything about that experience that could prevent you
6		from remaining fair and impartial to both the government and the
7		defendants throughout the trial of this case.
8	$igg _{F. Affili}$	ations
9	1)	Do you, any member of your family, or close personal friend, belong to
10	or associate	e with any group or organization that describes itself, or refers to itself
11		or as a militia group or organization?
12		as a minute group of organization.
13		a. If so, please describe generally the nature of your association.
14		
15		
16		
17	2)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends belong to or
18	associate w	ith any group or organization that proclaims, states, or subscribes to the
19	belief that o	citizens of a state or county are not bound to follow federal law, that is,
20	the laws of	the United States?
21		If so, please explain:
22		
23		
24		

1	3)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends belong to or	
2	associate with group or organization that proclaims, states, or subscribes to the		
3	belief that f	ederal law is only applicable to citizens who enter into a personal	
4	contract wit	th the federal government?	
5		If so, please explain:	
6			
7			
8			
9	4)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends hold an opinion	
10	or belief tha	at federal law enforcement officers should not be authorized to enforce	
11	federal law	within a state or county except as authorized by the local Sheriff?	
12		If so, please explain:	
13			
14			
15			
16	5)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends hold an opinion	
17			
18		at federal law enforcement officers should not be authorized to carry	
19	firearms wi	thin a state or county except as authorized by the local Sheriff?	
20		If so, please explain:	
21			
22			
23			
24			

Case 2:16-cr-00046-GMN-PAL Document 1147 Filed 12/19/16 Page 16 of 22

1	6)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends hold an opinion	
2	or belief th	nat federal courts, that is, United States District Courts, do not have	
3	jurisdiction over citizens of a state or county?		
4		If so, please explain:	
5			
6			
7			
8	7)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends hold an opinion	
9	or belief the	at the federal government should not enact laws that prohibit the use of	
10	a firearm during the commission of a crime?		
11		If so, please explain:	
12		/ 1	
13			
14			
15	8)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends hold an opinion	
16	,		
17		at agencies of the federal government overreach their authority and act	
18	outside the	Constitution of the United States?	
19		If so, please explain:	
20			
21			
22			
23			

1	9)	Do you, or any family members or close personal friends hold an opinion
2	or belief that citizens of a state or county are independent sovereigns who cannot	
3	be made subject to the laws of the United States?	
4		If so, please explain:
5		
6		
7		
8	10)	From highest to lowest (with 5 being the highest) please rank the
9	sources you consult for information about current events (Please place a "0" next t	
10	those that you do not consult at all):	
11		Local TV Stations
12		Internet/Social Media
13		Radio
14		Local Newspapers
15		National News Sources (newspapers, network/cable TV)
16	G. Ability	ity to Follow the Law
17	1)	Have you received any specialized training or education related to the
18	practice of law or the criminal or civil justice system?	
19	F	a. If so, please describe the general nature of your training or
20		education?
21		caacanon.
22		<u> </u>
23		
24		

b. Is there anything about your training or knowledge that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial toward either the defendant or the government?

Additional Questions to be Propounded to the Venire During Voir Dire

- 1) At the conclusion of the trial, the Court will instruct on the law that you should apply to the facts of the case. Is there anything about your beliefs or opinions about federal law that could prevent you from following the law as the Court instructs you?
- 2) Do you hold any beliefs or opinions about the government of the United States, United States Courts, or the defendants that would prevent you from fairly and impartially judging the evidence in this case?
- 3) At various times during the case, the Court will instruct you about the applicable law. It is your obligation to follow the Court's instructions about the law, whether you agree with the law or not. If you were to find that you disagreed with the law as given to you by this Court, would you nonetheless be able to follow that law and reach a verdict by applying that law to the evidence?
- 4) Is there anything about your political beliefs or affiliations generally that could prevent you from following the law as the Court instructs you?
- 5) Does anyone feel or believe that one person should never stand in judgment of another person even when serving on a jury?

- 6) Does anyone feel that because of his or her individual beliefs or personality, that you will be unable to deliberate or will refuse to deliberate with your fellow jurors in order to reach a verdict in this case?
- 7) Does anyone because of their personal beliefs about the criminal justice system believe that he or she must render a verdict one way or the other regardless of the evidence presented at trial and regardless of the law as I instruct you?
- 8) Are you able to set aside any and all personal beliefs and information about federal laws and/or regulations and reach a verdict in this case based solely on the law as the Court instructs you?
- 9) If you find the defendants guilty of one or more counts of the indictment, it will be the duty of the Court to determine any punishment. The law does not permit you, as a juror, to consider the issue of potential punishment when arriving at a verdict in this case. Will you be willing and able to set aside any consideration of punishment and render a fair and impartial verdict based solely on the evidence presented at trial and the law as I instruct you.
- 10) You may hear the testimony of co-defendants, cooperating with the government pursuant to a plea agreement, introduced as evidence by the government. Does anyone have any strong feelings, one way or the other, in connection with the use of witnesses who are cooperating with the government in exchange for a possible reduction of their sentence, which may prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict in this case?

- 11) Do you know of any reason why you may be prejudiced for or against the Federal Government, for or against any witness, or for or against the Defendant, because of the nature of the charges or otherwise?
- 12) In this case one or more of the defendants may have chosen to represent themselves at trial, which is their right. I have advised the defendants that they may face disadvantages in representing themselves since they are not trained as attorneys. The law, however, does not allow me to assist a defendant representing himself or to relax the rules that apply to the trial of this case. Likewise, the attorneys for the United States must treat a defendant representing himself as if he were trained as an attorney. Lastly, the fact that a defendant has chosen to represent himself must not enter into your deliberations. Will the fact that a defendant is representing himself cause you to be more or less sympathetic to one side or the other such that you cannot render a fair and impartial verdict?
- 13) If a defendant chooses to represent himself, the court has the power to appoint standby counsel. The role is very limited. Is there anyone who would be distracted by standby counsel's role in this case? Is there anyone who would be less than fair and impartial if the defendant chooses to seek no assistance, little assistance, or a great deal of assistance from standby counsel? Would anyone be distracted if the defendant, during the trial, ceased to represent himself and asked his standby counsel to begin representing him?
- 14) At the conclusion of the trial, the Court will instruct on the law that you should apply to the facts of the case. Is there anything about your beliefs or

opinions about federal law that could prevent you from following the law as the Court instructs you?

15) At various times during the case, the Court will instruct you about the applicable law. It is your obligation to follow the Court's instructions about the law, whether you agree with the law or not. If you were to find that you disagreed with the law as given to you by this Court, would you nonetheless be able to follow that law and reach a verdict by applying that law to the evidence?

WHEREFORE, the government respectfully requests that the Court propound the questions submitted above at voir dire or in any pre-screening questionnaire. The government further seeks leave to amend its list of proposed questions and/or offer further questions as responses become known to the government.

DATED this 19th day of December, 2016.

Respectfully,

DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney

//s//

STEVEN W. MYHRE
NICHOLAS D. DICKINSON
NADIA J. AHMED
Assistant United States Attorneys
ERIN M. CREEGAN
Special Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for the United States

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of the United States Attorney's Office. A copy of the foregoing GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED VOIR DIRE was served upon counsel of record, via Electronic Case Filing (ECF). DATED this 19th day of December, 2016. /s/ Steven W. Myhre STEVEN W. MYHRE Assistant United State Attorney